G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000– 20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1899-90

191.

(Military)

File No. 199 of 99-00

Serial Nos. 1 — 10

~~Papers Filed.~~ B.

Month ~~September~~ 1899.

SUBJECT.

Trial of Jemadar M. Nanjaraj urs of the I. S. Regiment by court martial for insubordination.

Sn. S. Krishna Rao

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Counter-mandate

LATER REFERENCES.

My f. 119 of 02-03.

" 210 of 99-00.

Urgent

No 42

MEMORANDUM.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Miley
199899-00

TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

FOR ORDERS.

DATED 12th August 1899

Subject

Trial of Jemedar M. Nanja raj Urs of the Imperial Service Regiment by Court Martial for insubordination.

I submit for orders the proceedings connected with the above trial.

The Court finds the Jemedar guilty of the offence of insubordination and sentences him to be suspended from rank, pay and allowances for a period of three months but Captain Fasken is of opinin that he should be dismissed and recommends that the Court should be ordered to reassemble to re-consider its sentence.

The above Officer was only recently placed at the bocton of the list of Jemedars for

Under the circumstances of the Case, the punishment awarded seems quite inadequate - and therefore under S. 64 of the Mily Regns the Court Martial should be ordered to re-assemble and to revise their Sentence. Please issue needful instructions.

25/8/99

overstaying his leave by 35 days vide proceedings of Government Daily No 122 9 /94 of the 13th February 1899(serial No 5 put up for ready reference)

Assistant Secretary

Military Department

Enclosure 1/1899

From

~~The Military Secretary to the Government of Mysore.~~

The Commandant Imperial Service Regiment

To

The Chief Commandant Mysore State Troops

Dated 31st July.

Despatched 31st July.

Received

General No. 58. 1899/900

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir

I have the honor to report that Jamadar M. Nanjaraj Urs of A. Squadron, is placed under arrest for insubordination in that the Jamadar on the 30th instant, when ordered by Jamadar Abdul Fakir Bintori Adjutant to look after the orderly officer's duty on the evening of the 30th instant, he sent words in reply, "As there are two, three officers above me, I wont look after the duty"

Jamadar Khanderai Rao

disapprobation 1st: for his failure to attend parade and second for insubordination, and further warned him that the repetition of a similar offence will not be overlooked

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obed: Servant

(Sd) Sijd Haffiz

Commandant

Imp: Serv: Regt:

Khandai Rao Ghatkai the orderly officer for duty on the 30th July took leave for the evening Stables, and Jamadar Sayid Buddan orderly officer for the 31st was also granted leave in the evening, as per report of the A Squadron Commander, Hence Jamadar M. Nanjaraj the next for duty to the latter, was asked to look after the duty during the absence of the former.

This is not the first time that the Jamadar under arrest has insolently disobeyed the order of his Superior.

On the 13th July 1899 officiating A. Squadron Commander, Risaldar, (Supr) Mir Mirmadin was directed to detail one officer, one Duffadar, 14 Lancers, and 4 Syces to go to the madras Railway Station to receive 14 waler purchased at Madras.

On the above date the Jamadar absented himself from Regimental Fire Control drill, that took place between 3 and 4 P.M. But went to play Polo after 5 P.M. On his return from play the officiating A. Squadron Commander directed him to go to the Railway Station with the party, but he refused to do so.

The officiating A. Squadron Commander reported the fact to me, I sent for the Jamadar in the orderly Room and personally made known to him my disapprobation

Urgent No 186
9/8/99

Mily. 8]

1899

6

SECTION Mily
FILE No. 1998/99-00
SUB No. 3

From

THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Military Dept.

Dated 5th August

Despatched Do

Received

General No. A. 60
Enclosure No.

Sir

In reply to your letter dated 2nd August 1899 (No. 203/7.86), I have the honor to inform you that upon personal Enquiry I find that the Evidence adduced by the Commanding officer re. the in-Subordination of

6

of Jones as in Navajo as has been
& be Correct. I think therefore
that he should be tried by Court-
Martial for insubordination.

The Evidence is herewith returned.

J Desmukes
Lt Colonel
Chief Commissary
Wayne Nebraska

Urgent — 98

Mily. 8]

1899

9218

12/8/99

SECTION Mily

FILE No. 1998 99-00

No. 5

From

THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Military Department

Dated 12th August

Despatched Do

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

Urgent

No. 275 D. 12/8/99

To

The Inspecting Officer
H.H. the R. S. Cavalry.

Forwarded for favor of opinion & return.

A Donnelly

Assistant Secretary
Military Department

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTING OFFICER,
HYDERABAD & MYSORE I. S. CAVALRY
12th August 1899.

Has the honor to forward herewith the Proceedings of a Court-martial held for the trial of Jemadar Ali Khan of the Imperial Service Regiment for misbehaviour

J Desmoplus
Colonel
Chief Commandant
Mysore State Troops

OFFICE OF THE ASST. ADJUTANT GENERAL
HYDERABAD & MYSORE HORSE CAVALRY.
12th August 1899.

4. As regards the offence - The case is a clear one - The Jemadar was given an order, which he understood and deliberately disobeyed - The order was given by the Adjutant in the name of the Commanding officer, conveyed verbally by a Sowar and acknowledged by the Jemadar - Even if he (the Jemadar) considered that he had been unfairly ordered upon this duty (which was not the case) he should have obeyed first & complained afterwards - This is clearly laid down in the Standing orders at present in force (of 11th July 1897) at page 19 Sect. XI. 1. If he was deliberate insubordination to refuse to obey the order - Bearing in mind the Jemadar's military character which cannot be classed as "good", considering that (1) he was "then" "degraded" for absence without leave, (2) that he was since then warned by the Commg officer for an offence which was as clear a case of insubordination as the present one (3) that this is the 3rd case within 12 months I am of opinion that the sentence awarded by the Court is quite insufficient

It is clear that proper military discipline cannot

Urgent 12

189

From

The Military Assistant to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Chief Commdt.
M. S. T.

SECTION Milry
FILE No. 199899-00
SERIAL No 6.

Dated

Despatched 24th August 1899

Received

General No. 325
7 No. 86 of 18.8.99

Enclosure No. 1 File.

Sir.

I have the honour to return the proceedings of the Court-martial held on Jemadar Nimparay Urs of the I. S. Regt and to say that as any punishment less than dismissal would be quite inadequate under the circumstances. Govt directs that the Court be reassembled under Mily. Regln. Sec 64. for the purpose of revising

their sentence.

I have &c

(Sd) R. G. Jones. Major

Mily. Asst.

13

From The C.C.M.S.T. To The Mily.Asstt.

No 387 dated 25/26 August 1899.

With reference to his letter communicating the intention of Govt. that the Court Martial on Jemadar M.Nunjaraj Urs shou should re-assemble to revise the sentence , has the honor to inform that the Regimentdar I.S.Regt. reports that Jemadar T.Nunjaraj Urs one of the members of the Court has since been placed on the sick list and to request that he will be so good as to let him know at an early date whether the Court should reassemble without this Officer or the Pro. could be returned to Govt.as suggested in Para 33 of Mysore Mily.Regln



(Sd)J.Desraj Urs
Lt.Col.
C.C.M.S.T.

II

Under para 33 the Court must consist ofthe same Officers iftherefore the C.M.cannot re-assemble Govt. can deal with the matter (under para 33 as the confirming authority) but it would be well to reassemble the Court if possible and in this view the Regimentdar mightbe directed to report when the Officer reported sick is likely to return to duty.

(Sd) R.G.Jones Major
Mily. Asstt.

From

THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

1896 14

From

THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SECTION Military

FILE NO. 19899-00

9/10

To The Chief Commdt
M. S. T
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 2nd September August

Received

General No. 392

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His Highness
the Commander-in-Chief
has the honor
by direction